# KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210 Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: (310) 556-7983 Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

## FAX COVER SHEET

FEB 0 8 2005

## PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER MATTHEW D. ANDERSON

TO:

Commissioner for Patents

FROM: David W. Victor

Attn: Examiner Matthew D. Anderson

Group Art Unit 2186 Patent Examining Corps

Facsimile Center

OUR REF:

0018.0074

Washington, D.C. 20231

TELEPHONE:

310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 26

#### PTO FAX NUMBER 1-703-872-9306

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310/556-7983, or fax us at 310/556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted: TRANSMITTAL FOR AMENDMENT (+ DUPLICATE); RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Applicant:

D.A. Burton et al.

Serial No .:

09/630,228

Filed:

August 1, 2000

Group Art Unit:

2186

Docket No.:

TUC920000013U\$1

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Pagent and Trade mark Office on February 8, 2005

Name: David W. Victor

**FORM PTO-1083** 

PATENT TUC920000013US1 0018.0074

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of D.A. Burton et al. Serial No.:			}	Examiner	: Matthew [	D. Anderson				
Filed: For:	August 1, 2000 METHOD, SYSTEM, ANI STRUCTURES FOR USI IN UPDATING DATA IN A DEVICE	NG METADA	<b>)</b>	Art Unit: 2186				24033  FATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE		
Mail Stop Amendi Commissioner for P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22	Patents									
Sir:										
Transmitted herewith In the above-identified application is an:  X										
The fee has been	calculated as shown belo	w:								
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST PREVIOU PAID FOR	SLY	PRESE EXTRA		ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL INDEP CLAIMS FIRST PRE	48 17 ESENTATION OF MULTIF	MINUS MINUS PLE DEP. CLA	51 14 AIM	= =	0 3 TOTAL	X X +	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	OR OR OR	x 50 x 200 + 360 TOTAL	\$ \$600 \$ . \$ -0-
Please charge Deposit Account No. 09-0449 the amount of \$ to cover the extension fee and also the amount of \$ to cover the claim fee. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.  A check in the amount of \$ to cover the extension fee is enclosed.  A check in the amount of \$ to cover the filling fee is enclosed.  A check in the amount of \$ to cover the petition fee is enclosed.  The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.09-0449. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.  X Any filling fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.  Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.										
Respectfully subm	nitted,									
David W. Victor Registration No.	39,867 S & VICTOR, LLP	Dated: Febr	uary 8, 2009	5						
315 S. Beverly Dri Beverly Hills, CA 9 (310) 556-7983 (v. (310) 556-7984 (fa	ive, Suite 210 90212 oice)			1						
		Service Commi	certify that the with sufficient ssioner for Pa	it øostage :	as first class	mall in ar Box 1450 	n envelope	addres	sed to:	]

#### FEB 0 8 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

D.A. Burton et al.

Examiner:

Matthew D. Anderson

Serial No.:

09/630,228

Group Art Unit:

2186

Filed:

August 1, 2000

Docket No.:

TUC920000013US1

TITLE:

METHOD, SYSTEM, AND DATA STRUCTURES FOR USING

METADATA IN UPDATING DATA IN A STORAGE DEVICE

<u>CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:</u>

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Matthew D. Anderson of the U.S. Patent and Transmark Office of 703-872-9308 on February 8, 2005.

Victor (

2/8/05 Date

### RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the final office action dated November 10, 2004 ("Final Office Action), in which the Examiner allowed claims 2-5, 7-14, 17-20, 22-29, 32-35, 37-41, 44, and 45; found that claims 6, 21, 36, 46, and 48 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form; and rejected claims 1, 15, 16, 30, 31, 42, 43, and 47 as anticipated (35 U.S.C. §102) or obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art. Applicants amended allowable claims 6, 21, and 36 to place these claims in condition for allowance.

On February 1, 2004, the attorney for Applicants and the Examiner held a phone interview discussing the rejection. The attorney explained how the claims distinguished over the cited art and the Examiner said he would consider the attorney's arguments. In a follow-up phone call, the Examiner cited additional sections of the prior art. Applicants traverse the prior art rejections, including the additional sections the Examiner cited in his phone call, and submit that all pending claims 1-48 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2. Remarks/Arguments begin on page 18.